We would like to take this opportunity of thanking all Members, from Maine to California, Washington. From Europe to Australasia, for your continued support. A year ago we started just within our own NY Unit. From there we went onto to extend greetings to all those who are helping to spread the truth about National Socialism, and the deep meaning of our sacred symbol the Swastika, Racial Purity.
Where is the American Dream?

A recent news article reports on a new phenomenon: "Toby Byrum decided to have a vasectomy to end his reproductive years. Unlike most of them, Byrum had his at the age of 28 while he is still single and childless. Two years later, the Web consultant from Jackson Hole, Wyoming, has no regrets... He's not alone... (Doctors) around the country say that they are seeing a small but growing number of young men who are deciding to have vasectomies when they are young, all but insuring that they will never be able to have children of their own. 'It's kind of getting to be a departure from this society - a wife, a husband, have kids, live in the suburbs, - and instead is more, 'Maybe we don't want to have kids,' Byrum said."

One thing they don’t mention in the article is that the standard of living for the average White family keeps dropping and dropping and dropping. It used to be the case that a young White man in his 20s could buy a house in a nice neighborhood. Also, the husband could support the family with his salary and the wife had the luxury of being a full time mom if she wanted. Today many White people live in apartments even into their 30s and 40s, and many wind up raising families in apartments.

The skyrocketing cost of housing is due to the flood of Third World immigrants. The lack of job security is the result of a ruthless new “robber baron” capitalism in which corporate CEOs have zero loyalty to American workers and treasonous politicians allow jobs to be exported to the Third World by the millions.

The job market has never been less secure. You may spend four years in college only to find the jobs in your major have been outsourced. Some graduates from college have as much as $100,000 in debt just from their education.

Our multi-racial society has made family life more difficult for Whites every year. Most public schools are too dangerous or Latino-infested for White children. It gets more expensive every year to live in a “safe” neighborhood in a suburb of a big city. I am stunned that more Whites don’t come to the realization that huge swarms of Latinos, Blacks and other Third Worlders are making their lives worse in every way. If we could return to the 90% White nation that our grandparents enjoyed, the public schools would be safe again. Housing would become affordable again. And White people would no longer be last in line for jobs, promotions and college admission.

I'm not surprised that some people don't want to start a family in these times. God bless the White people who do. It’s never been more of a challenge.

This is the Jewish American Dream.
Charges Filed in Armored Car Killings

NORTHEAST PHILADELPHIA - October 6, 2007 - A convicted bank robber charged with killing two armored car guards had spotted the vehicle on the road and followed it to an ATM, where he shot them as they serviced the cash machine, a homicide detective said Saturday. Mustafa Ali, 36, of Philadelphia, executed the two retired Philadelphia police officers without saying a word, police said. "He follows the truck, he sees an opportunity and he takes it," said the detective, who worked on the case but requested anonymity because he is not authorized to comment publicly.

Ali owned the dark Acura used in the heist, although the FBI had not been able to glean the license plate number from the surveillance film, the detective said. Ali instead became a suspect based on tips called in from the public. Commissioner Sylvester Johnson, whose department has struggled to solve murder cases amid a 'stop snitching' culture, thanked the public for stepping up in this case. "Within an hour, we started getting information from citizens," Johnson said at a Saturday afternoon news conference. "(Ali) will never walk the streets, hopefully, again in his lifetime." Johnson declined to discuss any details of the case or the suspect's background and police did not release his arrest photo.

Ali, who is employed, previously served seven years in federal prison for bank robbery, the detective said. He appears to have acted alone, police said. Ali was scheduled to be arraigned over the weekend and would likely be ineligible for bail. He was arrested on an unrelated charge Friday and later charged with two counts of murder, robbery, a firearms charge and other crimes after giving a statement. Police recovered the gun, a 9 mm semiautomatic, near a northeast Philadelphia community college after Ali told them where he had tossed it, the detective said. Ali did not have an attorney when he gave his statement, the detective said, and it was not immediately clear if one had been appointed Saturday.

The victims, William Widmaier, 65, and Joseph Alullo, 54, were friends from their days working together on the police force. "If he is the suspect that killed my husband, he hurt his own family too," said Donna Alullo, the widow of slain guard Joseph Alullo. "We hope that it is the suspect ... so that he is off the street and doesn't do it to anyone else."

Authorities spent Friday fielding tips about the suspect and his getaway car, a new Acura TL Type-S. Police towed a car matching that description from the apartment complex where they found Ali Friday afternoon. Police believe he was preparing to leave the area.

The robber approached the armored car from behind Thursday morning outside a bank at a northeast Philadelphia mall. Surveillance tape from the Wachovia bank security camera and another nearby business show the robber getting out of his car and putting on gloves before firing. He shot one guard in the chest, then went around the vehicle and shot the second guard as he tried to unholster his gun, police said. He shot toward a third guard inside the armored car, picked up a bag of deposits - likely a mix of cash and checks - and fled. "We don't think he got much of anything," the homicide detective said. Officials from Loomis, the armored-car company, met Friday with Philadelphia-area employees and the guards' families.

Widmaier was shot once in the chest, and Alullo was shot three times in the chest and abdomen, police said. Neither victim had on a bulletproof vest. Loomis does not require guards to wear the vests, but officials said they are considering revising their policy.

The third guard was grazed by shattered glass as the robber shot at the window. That guard was treated at a hospital for lacerations and released.

Widmaier, a city police officer from 1966 to 1989, and Alullo, who served on the force from 1973 to 2000, were assigned to the same district, police Commissioner Sylvester Johnson said. Widmaier, married with adult children, was the union shop steward for the Pennsauken, N.J., based division of Loomis, where the men worked. Alullo was married with three daughters.
OUR LOCAL GOVERNMENT IS HIJACKING OUR TAX DOLLARS AND FORCING OUR COUNTY CLERKS TO CATER TO NON-CITIZENS AND ILLEGAL ALIENS!!!

In a twist of political irony, Gov. Eliot Spitzer turned to the Bush administration for cover and compromise over his controversial proposal to make state driver’s licenses more secure but still allow licenses for illegal immigrants.

MAKE NO MISTAKE...THIS IS AMNESTY!!!

The governor had been taking a beating in polls and the media over his proposal to permit illegal immigrants to obtain driver’s licenses. He said it would make the roads safer, reduce the cost of car insurance and allow the government to track illegal immigrants.

WE ARE BEING BETRAYED!!!

For more information please visit: www.nsm88ny.com or call us at 718-252-2247.

Listen Now: www.nsm88radio.com
Is our beloved land becoming a third world country?

It’s beginning. The US infra-structure is losing its ability to keep up with population growth. Power black outs and other draconian measures have already been enforced in some states.

A Reuters article reports: “Los Angeles, San Francisco and Santa Barbara residents are being urged to switch off their lights for one hour on Saturday in the first such organized bid in the United States to promote energy saving. Much of the Golden Gate Bridge, Alcatraz, and Los Angeles International Airport will go dark between 8:00 p.m. and 9:00 p.m., apart from essential safety lighting. Lights in city buildings will be switched off and millions of residents in the three cities are being asked to follow suit. The Lights Out campaign in California follows similar initiatives in Sydney, Australia; London, England; and Paris, France earlier this year. California organizers said they planned a nationwide U.S. event in March 2009.”

My first reaction to this is total outrage. We live in the first world. Our engineers and scientists could solve virtually any problem, especially something as basic as energy production. You don’t suppose we have an energy shortage in America because our politicians let in 20 million illegal aliens. You don’t suppose the work on limitless and clean nuclear fusion power was starved of funds because we have two Big Oil crooks in the White House.

Turning off the lights for one hour is intended to brainwash people (especially the youth) into accepting worse service from the power companies. We would be total fools to go along with this transition to Third World standards. We need to replace the politicians who think this is “acceptable” and start electing politicians, who will deport illegal aliens and who will build more power stations.

A number of US cities already have power companies that charge fines or massively increased rates if your energy usage goes over a certain amount. Next, the power company will hit you with a rolling black out. During a heat wave when the air conditioning makes the difference between comfort and misery, they will decide to black out your neighborhood to protect the power grid. The wealthy, of course, will have their own generators running off natural gas.

The obvious issue in Southern California is that the population has grown faster than power stations could be built. The overwhelming majority of these new energy users are illegal aliens from the Third World, who have no right to be here. The authorities in California ALWAYS dump the cost of the illegals on the white taxpayers. I’m sure the “poor” (illegal alien) neighborhoods have one reduced rate for power use while the white suburbs get heavily over-charged to take up the slack. The overall cost of our open borders and open immigration policy comes at us in a hundred different ways until the total price we pay for turning this country into Mexico becomes no longer possible to calculate.

Then there is the issue of why we can no longer import petroleum from the Middle East without such a huge cost in blood and treasure. If, in 1948, we had not chosen to inflict an artificial and evil Jewish state into the midst of a land that had been solidly and irreversibly Muslim for 1300 years, then almost none of our energy problems or our problems with “terror” would exist today. There will be a terrible price that America must pay for our support of Israel, and the bill has only just begun to come due.

Come on Guys Protest and save our lights and our Country
CHILD MOLESTERS IN OUR SCHOOLS.

Can you believe that there was once a time in this country when a story like this simply didn’t exist? When of all the problems that parents had with their kids in school, the one thing that would never occur to any American parent in a million years would be the teacher molesting or seducing their son or daughter? USA Today reports: “Students in America’s schools are groped. They’re raped. They’re pursued, seduced and think they’re in love. An Associated Press investigation found more than 2,500 cases over five years in which educators were punished for actions from bizarre to sadistic.” And these are just the cases that get reported. According to USA Today: “Most of the abuse never gets reported. Cases often end with no action. Cases can’t be proven, and many victims. And no one — not the state or federal government — has found a surefire way to keep molesting teachers out of classrooms. Those are the findings of an AP investigation in which reporters sought disciplinary records in all 50 states and the District of Columbia. The national look at the scope of sex offenses by educators — the very definition of breach of trust.”

Those cases reported investigated sometimes abusers have several schools, not the courts, not mentors — has found a teachers out of classrooms. investigation in which records in all 50 states and result is an unprecedented sex offenses by educators — trust.”

The article continues “The seven-month investigation found 2,570 educators whose teaching credentials were revoked, denied, surrendered or sanctioned from 2001 through 2005 following allegations of sexual misconduct. Young people were the victims in at least 1,801 of the cases, and more than 80% of those were students. At least half the educators who were punished by their states also were convicted of crimes related to their misconduct.”

It seems like the only cases that actually get publicity involve some hot blonde teacher seducing a teenage boy. The actual problem is, of course, never cited: America is insane. As a society we have become deranged, and one symptom of the American madness is a completely narcissistic culture of instant gratification, especially sexual gratification, and the complete self-absorption of individuals in their own weird and perverse desires and fantasies. The result is an increasing problem of predatory teachers who regard their students as personal playthings and reflections of their own egos, including a large number of homosexuals who become teachers in order to gain access to young and impressionable students. Certain types of teacher-sex scandals, such as lesbian gym teachers who get up to locker-room antics with the girls’ volleyball team, never seem to get as much traction in the media.
Is Germany showing the way back to truth and honesty again?

Despite decades of re-education, many Germans just can’t let go of the truth. The British Daily Mail reports that “One in four Germans believe there were positive aspects to Nazi rule, according to a new poll. The poll run by the weekly Stern magazine asked whether National Socialism also had some ‘good sides such as the construction of the highway system, the elimination of unemployment, the low criminality rate and the encouragement of the family.’ Results showed 25 per cent responded ‘yes’. The poll, run by the Forsa agency, also showed that people aged 60 or older had the highest regard for aspects of the era, with 37 per cent answering ‘yes.’” (In other words, people old enough to actually remember some bits and pieces of National Socialist Germany.) “Those who grew up directly after the war, now aged 45 to 59, were the least enthusiastic about the Nazi era, with only 15 per cent responding ‘yes.’” These people grew up during the de-Nazification era, when guilt and fear of the past were pounded into young Germans’ brains with a sledge hammer. Their parents were portrayed as devils incarnate, period, and the slightest doubt or suggestion of doubt was not permitted.

The Daily Mail continues “The finding comes after a popular talk show host was fired for praising Nazi Germany’s attitude toward motherhood. Talking about Nazism in Germany, broadcaster Eva Herman said while there was ‘much that was very bad...[, there were good things, ‘for example the high regard for the mother’ under the Nazis. Despite being fired from her show, Herman, 48, who has written books urging a return to more traditional gender roles in Germany, has stood by her comments. She said: ‘What I wanted to express was that values which also existed before the Third Reich, such as family, children and motherhood, which were supported in the Third Reich, were subsequently done away with by the 68ers’ - a reference to 1960s leftists.” This is almost an unknown phenomenon: someone bravely standing up and spitting in the eyes of the leftists, liberals and feminists.

There is no greater testament to the power of Adolf Hitler’s ideas than the fact that over six decades after the defeat of Germany (by two superpowers and the British Empire) his name and the workers’ paradise, he created, are feared more than ever by the historical pygmies, who rule Germany today. Every year the penalties for speaking the truth in public (or even in private) grow more and more severe. But Adolf Hitler seems to be irrepressible. Sixty years of defamation, vilification and denunciation have not served to dim his memory. Many Germans see the post-war government as nothing more than an extension of the enemy occupation. Six decades of puppets and crooks, who bring in Turks, Africans and Muslims to destroy their once great nation.

The beautiful Germany of the 1930s with blonde children happily running through every village has been replaced with a multi-racial cesspool. Out of work Africans can be seen shuffling along the same streets, which used to be clean and safe in the days of the National Socialists. One day, people in Germany will grow tired of the politically correct police state that is destroying their lives. They will recover their national pride and start speaking the truth about their past regardless of what the militant lesbians or thought police tell them. Once that happens, Germany may finally be a great nation again -free of foreign control.

Join the fight for freedom
JOIN THE NSM.
YOUR COUNTRY NEEDS YOU NOW!
Migrant row Tory candidate quits
Powell was "right" on immigration.

Nigel Hastilow, who was to stand in Halesowen and Rowley Regis, resigned after meeting the Tory party chairman. Party chairman Caroline Spelman said "he chose to resign", adding that it was a "very honourable decision". Labour minister Hazel Blears accused the Tories of "dithering" over whether to sack Mr Hastilow before he resigned. The communities secretary said: "David Cameron has still not condemned Mr Hastilow's words, and he must do so without further dithering."

Following her meeting with the former candidate, Mrs Spelman said: "Nigel says in his letter of resignation that he's sorry that his remarks about immigration have undermined the good work that David Cameron has done on this sensitive issue, and also on other issues." She went on: "He himself felt that if these remarks had caused offence, he was concerned, having made a mistake before of this kind as a parliamentary candidate that it might happen again, and he felt himself that it was better that he should go." But the United Kingdom Independence Party (UKIP) said Mr Hastilow had simply been expressing views held by "millions" of voters.

Mike Nattrass, who represents the West Midlands area for the party, said: "It is important that this debate on immigration is taken further so we can have an open and honest discussion on this issue."

'Explain himself'

Enoch Powell was sacked from the Conservative shadow cabinet after a controversial speech in 1968 bemoaning the effects of immigration.

Mr Hastilow made the comments in a column for the Express and Star newspaper in Wolverhampton - where Mr Powell had been the MP at the time of his 1968 speech. The Parliamentary candidate, a former editor of the Birmingham Post, wrote: "When you ask most people in the Black Country what the single biggest problem facing the country is, most say immigration. "Many insist: 'Enoch Powell was right'. Enoch, once MP for Wolverhampton South-West, was sacked from the Conservative front bench and marginalised politically for his 1968 'rivers of blood' speech, warning that uncontrolled immigration would change our country irrevocably. "He was right. It has changed dramatically."

Following his meeting with Mrs Spelman he said he was "very sorry" if his remarks had undermined "the progress David Cameron has made on the issue of migration".

Brothers, Sisters, Comrades. I beg you all to read the next five pages. It is the speech given by Mr Enoch Powell, a British MP back in April 1968. He was an MP for Wolverhampton in the West Midlands of England. At the time nobody listened. He said that immigration would change the country irrevocably. Oh, how right he was. The West Midlands is more like a suburb of Lahore or Karachi. The Führer showed us the path to true fulfilment of our Aryan race. We have a great Leader in COMMANDER SCHOEP. UNITE now before it happens to AMERICA. Take a look around your neighbourhood and see what is happening to our cities.
British MP Enoch Powell’s “Rivers of Blood” speech in 1968

Will the Politicians never learn?

"Like the Roman, I see the River Tiber foaming with much blood"

The supreme function of statesmanship is to provide against preventable evils. In seeking to do so, it encounters obstacles which are deeply rooted in human nature. One is that by the very order of things such evils are not demonstrable until they have occurred: at each stage in their onset there is room for doubt and for dispute whether they be real or imaginary. By the same token, they attract little attention in comparison with current troubles, which are both indisputable and pressing: whence the besetting temptation of all politics to concern itself with the immediate present at the expense of the future. Above all, people are disposed to mistake predicting troubles for causing troubles and even for desiring troubles: "If only," they love to think,"if only people wouldn't talk about it, it probably wouldn't happen."

Perhaps this habit goes back to the primitive belief that the word and the thing, the name and the object, are identical. At all events, the discussion of future grave but, with effort now, avoidable evils is the most unpopular and at the same time the most necessary occupation for the politician.

Those who knowingly shirk it deserve, and not infrequently receive, the curses of those who come after. A week or two ago I fell into conversation with a constituent, a middle-aged, quite ordinary working man employed in one of our nationalised industries. After a sentence or two about the weather, he suddenly said: "If I had the money to go, I wouldn't stay in this country." I made some deprecatory reply to the effect that even this government wouldn't last for ever; but he took no notice, and continued: "I have three children, all of them been through grammar school and two of them married now, with family. I shan't be satisfied till I have seen them all settled overseas. In this country in 15 or 20 years' time the black man will have the whip hand over the white man."

I can already hear the chorus of execration. How dare I say such a horrible thing? How dare I stir up trouble and inflame feelings by repeating such a conversation? The answer is that I do not have the right not to do so. Here is a decent, ordinary fellow Englishman, who in broad daylight in my own town says to me, his Member of Parliament, that his country will not be worth living in for his children. I simply do not have the right to shrug my shoulders and think about something else. What he is saying, thousands and hundreds of thousands are saying and thinking - not throughout Great Britain, perhaps, but in the areas that are already undergoing the total transformation to which there is no parallel in a thousand years of English history. In 15 or 20 years, on present trends, there will be in this country three and a half million Commonwealth immigrants and their descendants. That is not my figure. That is the official figure given to parliament by the spokesman of the Registrar General’s Office. There is no comparable official figure for the year 2000, but it must be in the region of five to seven million, approximately one-tenth of the whole population, and approaching that of Greater London. Of course, it will not be evenly distributed from Margate to Aberystwyth and from Penzance to Aberdeen. Whole areas, towns and parts of towns across England will be occupied by sections of the immigrant and immigrant-descended population.
As time goes on, the proportion of this total who are immigrant descendants, those born in England, who arrived here by exactly the same route as the rest of us, will rapidly increase. Already by 1985 the native-born would constitute the majority. It is this fact which creates the extreme urgency of action now, of just that kind of action which is hardest for politicians to take, action where the difficulties lie in the present but the evils to be prevented or minimised lie several parliaments ahead.

The natural and rational first question with a nation confronted by such a prospect is to ask: "How can its dimensions he reduced?" Granted it be not wholly preventable, can it be limited, bearing in mind that numbers are of the essence: the significance and consequences of an alien element introduced into a country or population are profoundly different according to whether that element is 1 per cent or 10 per cent. The answers to the simple and rational question are equally simple and rational: by stopping, or virtually stopping, further inflow, and by promoting the maximum outflow. Both answers are part of the official policy of the Conservative Party.

It almost passes belief that at this moment 20 or 30 additional immigrant children are arriving from overseas in Wolverhampton alone every week - and that means 15 or 20 additional families a decade or two hence. Those whom the gods wish to destroy, they first make mad. We must be mad, literally mad, as a nation to be permitting the annual inflow of some 50,000 dependants, who are for the most part the material of the future growth of the immigrant-descended population. It is like watching a nation busily engaged in heaping up its own funeral pyre. So insane are we that we actually permit unmarried persons to immigrate for the purpose of founding a family with spouses and fiances whom they have never seen. Let no one suppose that the flow of dependants will automatically tail off. On the contrary, even at the present admission rate of only 5,000 a year by voucher, there is sufficient for a further 25,000 dependants per annum ad infinitum, without taking into account the huge reservoir of existing relations in this country - and I am making no allowance at all for fraudulent entry. In these circumstances nothing will suffice but that the total inflow for settlement should be reduced at once to negligible proportions, and that the necessary legislative and administrative measures be taken without delay.

I turn to re-emigration. If all immigration ended tomorrow, the rate of growth of the immigrant and immigrant-descended population would be substantially reduced, but the prospective size of this element in the population would still leave the basic character of the national danger unaffected. This can only be tackled while a considerable proportion of the total still comprises persons who entered this country during the last ten years or so. Hence the urgency of implementing now the second element of the Conservative Party’s policy: the encouragement of re-emigration. Nobody can make an estimate of the numbers which, with generous assistance, would choose either to return to their countries of origin or to go to other countries anxious to receive the manpower and the skills they represent. Nobody knows, because no such policy has yet been attempted. I can only say that, even at present, immigrants in my own constituency from time to time come to me, asking if I can find them assistance to return home. If such a policy were adopted
and pursued with the determination which the gravity of the alternative justifies, the resultant outflow could appreciably alter the prospects.

The third element of the Conservative Party's policy is that all who are in this country as citizens should be equal before the law and that there shall be no discrimination or difference made between them by public authority. As Mr Heath has put it we will have no "first-class citizens" and "second-class citizens". This does not mean that the immigrant and his descendent should be elevated into a privileged or special class or that the citizen should be denied his right to discriminate in the management of his own affairs between one fellow-citizen and another or that he should be subjected to imposition as to his reasons and motive for behaving in one lawful manner rather than another.

There could be no grosser misconception of the realities than is entertained by those who vociferously demand legislation as they call it "against discrimination", whether they be leader writers of the same kidney and sometimes on the same newspapers which year after year in the 1930s tried to blind this country to the rising peril which confronted it, or archbishops who live in palaces, faring delicately with the bedclothes pulled right up over their heads. They have got it exactly and diametrically wrong. The discrimination and the deprivation, the sense of alarm and of resentment, lies not with the immigrant population but with those among whom they have come and are still coming. This is why to enact legislation of the kind before parliament at this moment is to risk throwing a match on to gunpowder. The kindest thing that can be said about those who propose and support it is that they know not what they do.

Nothing is more misleading than comparison between the Commonwealth immigrant in Britain and the American negro. The negro population of the United States, which was already in existence before the United States became a nation, started literally as slaves and were later given the franchise and other rights of citizenship, to the exercise of which they have only gradually and still incompletely come. The Commonwealth immigrant came to Britain as a full citizen, to a country which knew no discrimination between one citizen and another, and he entered instantly into the possession of the rights of every citizen, from the vote to free treatment under the National Health Service. Whatever drawbacks attended the immigrants arose not from the law or from public policy or from administration, but from those personal circumstances and accidents which cause, and always will cause, the fortunes and experience of one man to be different from another's.

But while, to the immigrant, entry to this country was admission to privileges and opportunities eagerly sought, the impact upon the existing population was very different. For reasons which they could not comprehend, and in pursuance of a decision by default, on which they were never consulted, they found themselves made strangers in their own country. They found their wives unable to obtain hospital beds in childbirth, their children unable to obtain school places, their homes and neighbourhoods changed beyond recognition, their plans and prospects for the future defeated; at work they found that employers hesitated to apply to the immigrant worker the standards of discipline and competence required of the native-born worker; they began to hear, as time
went by, more and more voices which told them that they were now the unwanted. They now learn that a one way privilege is to be established by act of parliament; a law which cannot, and is not intended to, operate to protect them or redress their grievances is to be enacted to give the stranger, the disgruntled and the agent-provocateur the power to pillory them for their private actions.

In the hundreds upon hundreds of letters I received when I last spoke on this subject two or three months ago, there was one striking feature which was largely new and which I find ominous. All Members of Parliament are used to the typical anonymous correspondent; but what surprised and alarmed me was the high proportion of ordinary, decent, sensible people, writing a rational and often well-educated letter, who believed that they had to omit their address because it was dangerous to have committed themselves to paper to a Member of Parliament agreeing with the views I had expressed, and that they would risk penalties or reprisals if they were known to have done so. The sense of being a persecuted minority which is growing among ordinary English people in the areas of the country which are affected is something that those without direct experience can hardly imagine. I am going to allow just one of those hundreds of people to speak for me:

"Eight years ago in a respectable street in Wolverhampton a house was sold to a negro. Now only one white (a woman old-age pensioner) lives there. This is her story. She lost her husband and both her sons in the war. So she turned her seven-roomed house, her only asset, into a boarding house. She worked hard and did well, paid off her mortgage and began to put something by for her old age. Then the immigrants moved in. With growing fear, she saw one house after another taken over. The quiet street became a place of noise and confusion. Regretfully, her white tenants moved out."

"The day after the last one left, she was awakened at 7am by two negroes who wanted to use her phone to contact their employer. When she refused, as she would have refused any stranger at such an hour, she was abused and feared she would have been attacked but for the chain on her door. Immigrant families have tried to rent rooms in her house, but she always refused. Her little store of money went, and after paying rates, she has less than 2 per week. She went to apply for a rate reduction and was seen by a young girl, who on hearing she had a seven-roomed house, suggested she should let part of it. When she said the only people she could get were negroes, the girl said, 'Racial prejudice won't get you anywhere in this country.' So she went home."

"The telephone is her lifeline. Her family pay the bill, and help her out as best they can. Immigrants have offered to buy her house - at a price which the prospective landlord would be able to recover from his tenants in weeks, or at most a few months. She is becoming afraid to go out. Windows are broken. She finds excreta pushed through her letter box. When she goes to the shops, she is followed by children, charming, wide-grinning piccaninnies. They cannot speak English, but one word they know. 'Racialist', they chant. When the new Race Relations Bill is passed, this woman is convinced she will go to prison. And is she so wrong? I begin to wonder"
otherwise blind to realities suffer, is summed up in the word "integration". To be integrated into a population means to become for all practical purposes indistinguishable from its other members. Now, at all times, where there are marked physical differences, especially of colour, integration is difficult though, over a period, not impossible. There are among the Commonwealth immigrants who have come to live here in the last 15 years many thousands whose wish and purpose is to be integrated and whose every thought and endeavour is bent in that direction. But to imagine that such a thing enters the heads of a great and growing majority of immigrants and their descendants is a ludicrous misconception, and a dangerous one.

We are on the verge here of a change. Hitherto it has been force of circumstance and of background which has rendered the very idea of integration inaccessible to the greater part of the immigrant population - that they never conceived or intended such a thing, and that their numbers and physical concentration meant the pressures towards integration which normally bear upon any small minority did not operate. Now we are seeing the growth of positive forces acting against integration, of vested interests in the preservation and sharpening of racial and religious differences, with a view to the exercise of actual domination, first over fellow-immigrants and then over the rest of the population. The cloud no bigger than a man's hand, that can so rapidly overcast the sky, has been visible recently in Wolverhampton and has shown signs of spreading quickly. The words I am about to use, verbatim as they appeared in the local press on 17 February, are not mine, but those of a Labour Member of Parliament who is a minister in the present government “The Sikh communities' campaign to maintain customs inappropriate in Britain is much to be regretted. Working in Britain, particularly in the public services, they should be prepared to accept the terms and conditions of their employment. To claim special communal rights (or should they say rites?) leads to a dangerous fragmentation within society. This communalism is a canker; whether practised by one colour or another it is to be strongly condemned.” All credit to John Stonehouse for having had the insight to perceive that, and the courage to say it.

For these dangerous and divisive elements the legislation proposed in the Race Relations Bill is the very pabulum they need to flourish. Here is the means of showing that the immigrator communities can organise to consolidate their members, to agitate and campaign against their fellow citizens, and to overawe and dominate the rest with the legal weapons which the ignorant and the ill-informed have provided. As I look ahead, I am filled with foreboding; like the Roman, I seem to see "the River Tiber foaming with much blood". That tragic and intractable phenomenon which we watch with horror on the other side of the Atlantic but which there is interwoven with the history and existence of the States itself, is coming upon us here by our own volition and our own neglect. Indeed, it has all but come. In numerical terms, it will be of American proportions long before the end of the century. Only resolute and urgent action will avert it even now. Whether there will be the public will to demand and obtain that action, I do not know. All I know is that to see, and not to speak, would be the great betrayal.